UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/805,932	03/22/2004	Michael K. Brown	555255012483	1029	
89441 Jones Day (RIM	7590 02/05/201 1) - 2N	EXAMINER			
North Point 901 Lakeside A		AJAYI, JOEL			
Cleveland, OH		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2617		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/05/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

dlpejeau@jonesday.com portfolioprosecution@rim.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/805,932	BROWN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

20.0.0 til.0 . iii.ig 0. a.i. Appoa. 21.0.	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JOEL AJAYI	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED <u>19 January 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.				
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig or than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as			
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	nliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed a AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further of			Jacob			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ected claims.				
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12,14-18,20-23 and 25-30</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8.	ut before or on the date of filing a N	otice of Appeal will no	t he entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•		•			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered b See Continuation Sheet. 	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/LESTER KINCAID/	/Joel Ajayi/					
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617	Examiner, Art Unit 2617					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the argument features that the second attachment is automatically provided by the server to the mobile device when the secure message is opened in response to a user request. The examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant's statement and asserts that Bouchard discloses a server that provides a secure (par. 62, lines 4-20) second attachment to a mobile (par. 30, lines 1-8) device (par. 56, lines 1-14) in response to a user/recipient request/communication (par. 29, lines 1-4). Picoult discloses that the message is provided "automatically" in response to a user request (par. 31, lines 1-6). It is obvious that the user will open the secure (par. 31, lines 8-17) message based on the capability of the device (par. 35, lines 16-18).

In view of the above, the rejection using Bouchard and Picoult is maintained.